Application No. 10/662,426

Amendment dated: December 27, 2007

First Preliminary Amendment

REMARKS

In the Decision on Appeal of September 29, 2007, while the Board has affirmed the

Docket No. 21064/0206583-US0

Examiner, the Board has recognized that the prior art does not disclose "linear" translation of the

burnish object relative to the disk. The Board states, "we find no basis in the claim language or in

the disclosure in the Specification to read the term 'linearly' into the claims as a limitation on 'to

advance a position of contact' with respect to the translational course between two points as

disclosed in Specification ¶ 0030." See paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 of the Decision on

Appeal.

The fact that the Board has made this clarification indicates that the Board considers that

the present invention is distinguishable over the prior art cited by the Examiner by adding "linearly"

as a limitation on "to advance a position of a contact" with respect to the translational course

between two points. In this situation, Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite "to advance a

position of a contact of the burnishing object linearly between two points across the surface of the

disk" and request reopening the prosecution of this case by filing a RCE under 37 CFR 1.114 along

with an amendment explicitly limiting the translation of the burnishing object relative to the disk to

be "linear." Note that MPEP 1214.07 states that even after a final decision of the Board, if an

amendment is submitted with a RCE, prosecution of the application will be reopened and the

amendment will be entered.

Dated: December 27, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By /Raj S. Davé/

Rai S. Dave

Registration No.: 42,465

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 770

Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

5